

Software Lessons Learned

How to write Absolutely Terrible
Software!

How to write Absolutely Terrible Software!

- Absolutely Terrible Software (ATS) requires at least one of the following:
 - Terrible Comments,
 - Terrible Headers, or a
 - Terrible Coding Style

Terrible Comments

- The terrible approaches to comments in source code are:
 - TAKE NO HOSTAGES
the He-Man comment approach
 - CONFUSE THEM WITH FACTS
the passive aggressive comment approach
 - HE WENT THAT AWAY \leftrightarrow
the job security comment approach

TAKE NO HOSTAGES

(or the He-Man comment approach)

- Who needs comments?
 - if you cannot understand my code without comments then you have no business calling yourself a programmer.

CONFUSE THEM WITH FACTS

(or the passive aggressive comment approach)

- I added comments because the boss wants them...
 - but I am not going to waste my time by telling you anything useful...
 - So I'll repeat each line of code with a comment that says the same thing...
`I := I + 1; // add one to I.`

HE WENT THAT AWAY \leftrightarrow
(or the job security comment approach)

- I added comments because the boss wants them...
 - but its my code...
 - And to make certain it stays that way, my comments are misleading, encrypted, or irrelevant!

Comments: The Better Way

- five kinds of comments:
 - repeat of the code *AVOID*
 - explanation of the code *AVOID*
 - marker in the code *AVOID*
 - summary of the code *BETTER*
 - distills a few lines of code into 1 or 2 sentences
 - description of the code's intent *BEST*
 - explains the purpose of a section of code

Terrible Headers

- The terrible approaches to file and subroutine headers are:
 - IT IS TOO OBVIOUS TO BE NEEDED
 - EVERYTHING BUT THE KITCHEN SINK

IT IS TOO OBVIOUS TO BE NEEDED

- Well, it is perfectly obvious to the casual observer that the third parameter is the z-axis velocity in meters per hour...

```
SUBROUTINE Comp (x0, x1, x2 : FLOAT) ;
```

EVERYTHING BUT THE KITCHEN SINK

```
{ }FUNCTION AppendChar(aStr:STRING; aChr:CHAR):STRING;
{+-----+}
| Name:      AppendChar
|
| Purpose:   Append the specified character to the end of the string and
|            return the result as the function value.
|
| Algorithm: If the length of the passed string is less than the maximum
|            string length, the passed character is appended to the end
|            of the string and the result is returned as the function
|            value.
|
| Inputs:    aStr  -- the string to which the character is to be appended
|            aChr  -- the character to append to the string aStr.
|
| Outputs:   The function value is the appended string
|
| Called by: CreateBorder, DoReport, EndPage, ForSummary, PrintHeader
|
| Author:    John P. Verbose
|
| Created:   2/1/86
|
| Revised:   *
+-----+}
BEGIN
  AppendChar := aStr + aChr;
{ }END {AppendChar};
```

EVERYTHING BUT THE KITCHEN SINK (2)

- The vertical bars at either end are difficult to maintain
- The *called by* section is difficult to maintain
- The complete boilerplate is overkill for such a trivial function
- The algorithm section is strained.
 - it is hard to describe something as simple as adding a character to the end of a string at a level of detail that is simpler than the code itself

Headers: The Better Way

- For files:
 - Statement of purpose
 - who wrote it, when
 - (optional) list of references (name the book or magazine article that describes the algorithm or algorithm being implemented)
 - (optional) limitations or cautions that may not be otherwise readily apparent
 - date and general description of revisions

Headers: The Better Way (2)

- For subroutines:
 - Statement of purpose
 - parameter descriptions
 - *what it is
 - *what it does
 - *what's its valid range
 - *is it an input, an output or both
 - *what's its units (is it speed in furlongs per fortnight?)
 - who wrote it, when
 - date and general description of revisions

Comments & Headers: The Better Way

- Use comment & header styles that don't break down or discourage modification
- Comment as you go along
- Avoid self-indulgent comments
- Write comments at the level of the code's intent

Terrible Coding Style

- The terrible approaches to coding style:
 - IF IT TOOK ME 3 WEEKS TO WRITE IT SHOULD TAKE YOU 3 WEEKS TO FIGURE WHAT I DID

IF IT TOOK ME 3 WEEKS TO WRITE IT
SHOULD TAKE YOU 3 WEEKS TO FIGURE
WHAT I DID

- Terse code is “good”
- The best variable names are I, J, X, Y and Z
- Indented code wastes space characters
- Blank lines are a precious resource - don't waste them either
- I wrote it, so it's perfect

Coding Style: The Better Way

- Use intuitive and descriptive subroutine, constant and variable names.
 - Use naming conventions to identify scope & type
- Indent the body of code blocks
- Use blank lines to separate logical blocks.
- Separate subroutines by 3-5 blank lines
- Read your code
 - Read it out-loud or have other people read it.